| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------|----------------|
| | 10/588,081 | KUEHLER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Elizabeth D. Wood | 1793 |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | |
| (1) Elizabeth D. Wood. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Jeremy Kliebert</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 5/19/09. | | |
| Type: a) Z Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description: | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 9. | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A. | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See attached examiner's amendment. | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NITREVIEW. (See MFEP Section 7.13.0.) if a mely to the last Office action has already been fined. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS THE THE PROPERTY OF THE MALL MOD DATE OF THIS STREYMEY SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO HELE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview qualiformism on workers also or on Elements sheet. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |